



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

Mos

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

09/305,178 05/04/99 RIBADEAU-DUMAS

G 6-1032-035

HENDERSON & STURM LLP
206 SIXTH AVE
1213 MIDLAND BLDG
DES MOINES IA 50309-4076

IM22/0507

EXAMINER

DUBOIS, P	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

1761
DATE MAILED:

05/07/01

17

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/305,178

Applicant(s)
Ribadeau-dumas et al

Examiner
Curtis E. Sherrer

Group Art Unit
1761

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Curtis E. Sherrer

(3) William H. Wright

(2) Philip DuBois

(4) Didier Boulinguez, Arnaud Heysen

Date of Interview May 4, 2001

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☒ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: Claim 25, all claims in general

Identification of prior art discussed:

Yatka et al

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicants will consider amending the preamble to recite "a boiled sugar composition comprising" and amending the body of the claim to recite that the candy is stabilized against humidity as disclosed on page 9, line 22 to page 10, line 8. Will consider presenting arguments that the Yatka patent does not make obvious such a composition.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



CURTIS E. SHERRER
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 1761

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.